

INTERNATIONAL MASTERS GAMES ASSOCIATION

(IMGA)

BOARD RESOLUTIONS

Interpretive Resolutions adopted under Article 8 of the IMGA Constitution

1. Eligibility of candidates proposed by IF Members for the position of Treasurer
2. Eligibility of IF Member representatives where no formal Masters Commission exists

Lausanne, 2026-06-23

RESOLUTION 2026.01 (APPENDIX A)
of the IMGA Board

**Interpretation under Article 8 of the IMGA Constitution concerning the eligibility of candidates
proposed by IF Members for the position of Treasurer**

Adopted in Lausanne, IMGA/World Masters Sport Board Meeting, 2026-06-23

The IMGA Board,

Having regard to Article 8 of the IMGA Constitution, which confers on the IMGA Board the sole power to interpret the Constitution and to fill any gaps therein;

Having regard to Article 22.1 of the Constitution, which provides that the slate of independent candidates proposed by the IMGA Board shall include “at least one having the financial expertise adequate to serve as treasurer”;

Having regard to Article 22.2.4 of the Constitution, which expressly contemplates that IF Members may announce candidates “for the positions of President or Treasurer and/or of one of the IF Members’ representatives on the IMGA Board,” and which further provides that “a candidate for President or for Treasurer who is not elected to these specific functions may still take part in the election of the IMGA Board Members representatives of the IF Members”;

Having regard to Article 23 of the Constitution, which identifies the Treasurer as a member of the Executive Committee but does not prescribe the electoral stream from which the Treasurer must be drawn;

Noting that Article 22.2.5 (Conduct of the elections) does not provide for a separate ballot for the position of Treasurer, but rather for the sequential election of (i) the President, (ii) up to three Board Members from candidates proposed by IF Members, and (iii) up to three Board Members from candidates proposed by the IMGA Board;

Considering that the requirement in Article 22.1 that the IMGA Board’s slate include at least one candidate with financial expertise adequate to serve as Treasurer constitutes a minimum obligation on the IMGA Board’s own proposal duty, and not a restriction on the pool of Board members from whom the Treasurer may ultimately be designated;

Considering the importance of preserving flexibility in the designation of officers so as to draw on the full range of expertise available within the elected Board;

Decides as follows:

Article 1. The position of Treasurer of the IMGA may be filled by any duly elected member of the IMGA Board, irrespective of whether that member was elected from candidates proposed by IF Members under Article 22.1, second indent, or from independent candidates proposed by the IMGA Board under Article 22.1, third indent.

Article 2. The requirement under Article 22.1 that the IMGA Board's slate of independent candidates include at least one candidate having the financial expertise adequate to serve as Treasurer remains fully applicable. This requirement constitutes a floor on the IMGA Board's proposal obligation and is not affected by this interpretation.

Article 3. Where an IF Member announces a candidate for the position of Treasurer pursuant to Article 22.2.4, such candidate, if elected to the IMGA Board, shall be eligible for designation as Treasurer by the IMGA Board on the same basis as any other elected Board member possessing the requisite financial expertise.

Article 4. The Treasurer shall be designated by the IMGA Board from among its elected members following the General Assembly, by analogy with the appointment procedure for Vice-Presidents under Article 22.1.

Article 5. This Resolution enters into force immediately upon adoption and shall be published on the IMGA website and communicated to all Members and Associates.

RESOLUTION 2026.02 (APPENDIX B)

of the IMGA Board

Interpretation under Article 8 of the IMGA Constitution concerning the eligibility of IF Member representatives to the IMGA Board where the IF Member has no formal Masters Commission

Adopted in Lausanne, IMGA/World Masters Sport Board Meeting, 2026-06-23

The IMGA Board,

Having regard to Article 8 of the IMGA Constitution, which confers on the IMGA Board the sole power to interpret the Constitution and to fill any gaps therein;

Having regard to Article 22.1 of the Constitution, which provides that up to three members of the IMGA Board shall be elected from candidates proposed by the IF Members, who "shall be representatives of the

IF Members (president, other members of the board of the IF Member or chair of the IF Masters Commission at the IF Members' discretion)";

Having regard to Article 22.2.2 of the Constitution, which provides that "candidates to be proposed from IF Members representatives shall occupy the required functions within the IF Members organization at the time of the election or re-election";

Having regard to Article 10 of the Constitution, which establishes the membership criteria for International Federations and which expressly recognises that an IF may demonstrate organisational commitment to Masters sport by having "an established Masters Commission **or otherwise has measures in place, directly or indirectly encouraging the development of Masters activities** in their own sports";

Having regard to Article 11.2 of the Constitution, which obliges IF Members to "actively promote Master Sports through a dedicated Masters Commission (**or otherwise through dedicated resources**), directly within their own organisation or indirectly through a different entity covering Masters activities in their sports and with which they are cooperating";

Noting that the Constitution, in Articles 10 and 11.2, expressly contemplates and accepts that IF Members may organise their Masters activities through structures other than a formal "Masters Commission," and that such functional equivalents are recognised at the membership and obligations stage;

Noting further that Article 22.1 refers solely to the "chair of the IF Masters Commission," without carrying through the equivalent recognition of alternative structures provided in Articles 10 and 11.2, and that this gives rise to a gap in the Constitution which the IMGA Board is empowered to fill under Article 8;

Considering that a substantial number of IF Members do not maintain a formal body designated as a "Masters Commission" but instead organise their Masters activities through equivalent structures such as a Masters working group, a Masters committee, a Masters department or directorate, a Masters sub-commission, or an analogous internal or affiliated body;

Considering that a strict and narrow reading of Article 22.1 would unduly restrict the pool of eligible candidates that IF Members may put forward, contrary to the manifest intention of the Constitution as expressed in Articles 10 and 11.2 to accommodate diverse organisational arrangements;

Considering that this interpretation does not in any way diminish the requirement that all candidates occupy a substantive and current function within the IF Member's organisation at the time of the election;

Decides as follows:

Article 1. For the purposes of Article 22.1 of the Constitution, the reference to "chair of the IF Masters Commission" shall be interpreted, in the case of an IF Member that does not maintain a body formally

designated as a “Masters Commission,” as encompassing the chair, head, director, or other senior official who occupies the equivalent functional position within the IF Member’s structure for Masters sport.

Article 2. Such equivalent functional position includes, by way of non-exhaustive illustration, the chair of a Masters working group, the chair of a Masters committee or sub-commission, the director or head of a Masters department or directorate, or the senior official designated by the IF Member as having principal responsibility for Masters activities, whether housed within the IF Member itself or within a cooperating entity as contemplated by Article 11.2.

Article 3. An IF Member relying on this Article shall, when announcing its candidate pursuant to Article 22.2.4, identify the equivalent functional position held by the candidate and provide a brief description of the structure within which that position sits, together with documentation evidencing the candidate’s appointment to that position.

Article 4. The Electoral Commission shall verify, in the course of its review under Article 22.2.2, that the position identified constitutes a functional equivalent to the chair of a Masters Commission. In case of doubt, the Electoral Commission may seek the opinion of the IMGA Board, which shall decide.

Article 5. For the avoidance of doubt, this Resolution does not alter the eligibility of candidates qualifying under the other categories enumerated in Article 22.1 (namely, the president of an IF Member or other members of the board of an IF Member), nor does it alter any of the eligibility requirements set out in Article 22.2.2.

Article 6. The IMGA Board notes that the present interpretation addresses an existing gap in the Constitution and reserves the possibility of proposing, at a future Ordinary General Assembly, an amendment to Article 22.1 to align its wording expressly with Articles 10 and 11.2.

Article 7. This Resolution enters into force immediately upon adoption and shall be published on the IMGA website and communicated to all Members and Associates in advance of the candidature period for the 2026 elections.